

Efficient B-Spline Finite Elements for Cloth Simulation

Supplemental Document

YUQI MENG, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and University of Utah, USA

YIHAO SHI, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and Zhejiang University, China

KEMENG HUANG, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and University of Hong Kong, China

NING GUO, Zhejiang University, China

TAKU KOMURA, University of Hong Kong, China

YIN YANG, University of Utah, USA

MINCHEN LI, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and Genesis AI, USA

CCS Concepts: • **Computing methodologies** → **Physical simulation**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: B-Spline FEM, Cloth Simulation, Membrane Locking, Bending

CONTENTS

Contents	1
1 Derivatives of Inverse Function	1
2 Derivation of Elasticity Energy	2
2.1 Membrane Energy	2
2.2 Bending Energy	3
3 Constructing Irregular B-Spline Surfaces	4
3.1 Extraction of Boundary Curves	4
3.2 Control Net Generation and Refinements	5
3.3 Lifting to 3D Initial Configuration	6
References	6

1 Derivatives of Inverse Function

We first derive the (bivariate) inverse function theorem for second order derivatives. The explicit formula is very important for deriving the derivatives of our bending energy, detailed in [subsection 2.2](#). For notational simplicity, we will use subscripts to denote derivatives in the following derivations, e.g. $u_x := \partial u / \partial x$, $u_{xx} := \partial^2 u / \partial x^2$.

Given a bijective C^2 -continuous vector-valued function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathcal{T}(u, v) = (x(u, v), y(u, v))$, there exists an (implicit) inverse function of it $\mathcal{T}^{-1}(x, y) = (u(x, y), v(x, y))$. Here we use u, v, x, y to denote both the variable and the function describing correlation. We would like to compute the second order derivatives u_{xx} , u_{xy} , u_{yy} , and similar for v , using only derivatives of x, y w.r.t. u, v , which are available from the explicit relations.

Consider the equation

$$u = u(x(u, v), y(u, v)). \quad (1)$$

Authors' Contact Information: Yuqi Meng, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and University of Utah, USA; Yihao Shi, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and Zhejiang University, China; Kemeng Huang, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and University of Hong Kong, China; Ning Guo, Zhejiang University, China; Taku Komura, University of Hong Kong, China; Yin Yang, University of Utah, USA; Minchen Li, Carnegie Mellon University, USA and Genesis AI, USA, minchernl@gmail.com.

First we compute the first order derivatives of the inverse function. Applying partial derivatives w.r.t. u and v respectively on Equation 1 and applying chain rule gives the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} u_u = x_u u_x + y_u u_y \\ u_v = x_v u_x + y_v u_y, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where the unknowns are highlighted, and left hand sides of the systems evaluate to $u_u = 1, u_v = 0$. Solving the system gives the first-order derivatives u_x, u_y, v_x and v_y :

$$\begin{cases} u_x = \frac{y_v}{x_u y_v - x_v y_u} \\ u_y = -\frac{x_v}{x_u y_v - x_v y_u}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

By symmetry, exchanging u and v gives the derivatives of v .

Now take the second-order derivatives w.r.t. x and y on both sides of Equation 1. This gives another linear system where the unknowns are the second-order derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} u_{uu} = x_u^2 u_{xx} + 2x_u y_u u_{xy} + y_u^2 u_{yy} + u_x x_{uu} + u_y y_{uu} \\ u_{vv} = x_v^2 u_{xx} + 2x_v y_v u_{xy} + y_v^2 u_{yy} + u_x x_{vv} + u_y y_{vv} \\ u_{uv} = x_u x_v u_{xx} + (x_u y_v + x_v y_u) u_{xy} + y_u y_v u_{yy} + u_x x_{uv} + u_y y_{uv}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Here left hand side of all three equations evaluate to 0. Solving Equation 4 gives the explicit expression of second order derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} u_{xx} = -\frac{1}{(x_v y_u - x_u y_v)^2} (u_x (x_{uu} y_v^2 - 2x_{uv} y_u y_v + x_{vv} y_u^2) + u_y (y_{uu} y_v^2 - 2y_{uv} y_u y_v + y_{vv} y_u^2)) \\ u_{xy} = -\frac{1}{(x_v y_u - x_u y_v)^2} (u_x (x_u x_{uv} y_v - x_u x_{vv} y_u + x_v x_{uv} y_u - x_v x_{uu} y_v) + u_y (x_u y_{uv} y_v - x_u y_{vv} y_u + x_v y_u y_{uv} - x_v y_v y_{uu})) \\ u_{yy} = -\frac{1}{(x_v y_u - x_u y_v)^2} (u_x (x_{uu} x_v^2 - 2x_{uv} x_u x_v + x_{vv} x_u^2) + u_y (y_{vv} x_u^2 - 2y_{uv} x_u x_v + y_{uu} x_v^2)). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Similarly, exchanging u and v gives the derivatives of v .

2 Derivation of Elasticity Energy

In this section we provide the detailed derivation of membrane and bending energy and their derivatives, discretized onto the degrees of freedom (DOFs) of quadratic B-spline surfaces.

2.1 Membrane Energy

Recall that in the FEM Baraff-Witkin model [Kim 2020], the membrane energy density Ψ_{mem} is defined as the sum of stretching and shearing components

$$\Psi_{\text{shear}} = \mu_{\text{sh}} I_6^2, \quad \Psi_{\text{stretch}} = \mu_{\text{st0}} \left(\sqrt{I_5(\mathbf{e}_0)} - 1 \right)^2 + \mu_{\text{st1}} \left(\sqrt{I_5(\mathbf{e}_1)} - 1 \right)^2, \quad (6)$$

using the anisotropic invariants

$$I_5(\mathbf{e}_0) = \mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{e}_0, \quad I_5(\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{e}_1^T \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{e}_1, \quad I_6(\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{e}_1. \quad (7)$$

All of these invariants are computed using the in-plane deformation gradient \mathbf{F} , which is computed via treating parametric coordinates as intermediates, and applying chain rule:

$$F_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{\partial \phi_\alpha}{\partial X_\beta} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial N_{i,j}}{\partial u} C_\alpha^{i,j} \frac{\partial u}{\partial X_\beta} + \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\partial N_{i,j}}{\partial v} C_\alpha^{i,j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial X_\beta}, \quad (8)$$

where ϕ is the deformation, $N_{i,j}$ are the quadratic B-spline basis, $C^{i,j}$ are the control points, (X_β) are the material space coordinates for $\beta \in \{1, 2\}$, and (u, v) are the parametric coordinates. The highlighted terms are defined independent of the current configuration of the B-spline surface, and therefore are precomputed using Equation 3.

For the gradient and Hessian of membrane energy, we use deformation gradient as intermediates and apply chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{mem}}}{\partial C^{i,j}} = \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{mem}}}{\partial F} \frac{\partial F}{\partial C^{i,j}}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{\text{mem}}}{\partial (C^{i,j})^2} = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial C^{i,j}} \right)^T \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{\text{mem}}}{\partial F^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial C^{i,j}} + \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{mem}}}{\partial F} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial (C^{i,j})^2}, \quad (9)$$

where the second term for Hessian vanishes. We provide derivation of terms $\partial F / \partial C^{i,j}$ and $\partial \Psi_{\text{mem}} / \partial F$, and use the implementation of positive-semi-definite-projected Hessian given in Kim [2020] as proxy of $\partial^2 \Psi_{\text{mem}} / \partial F^2$. This ensures that the energy Hessian $\partial^2 \Psi_{\text{mem}} / \partial (C^{i,j})^2$ is positive semi-definite, which is required for solving optimization time integration problem using backtracking line search.

Using the same indexing as in Equation 8, the entries in the gradient $\partial F / \partial C^{i,j}$ are given by

$$\frac{\partial F_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial C_\gamma^{i,j}} = \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial N_{i,j}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial X_\beta} + \frac{\partial N_{i,j}}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial X_\beta} \right), \quad \text{where } \delta_{\alpha\gamma} = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = \gamma \\ 0, & \alpha \neq \gamma \end{cases}, \quad (10)$$

and the gradient $\partial \Psi_{\text{mem}} / \partial F$ is given by the sum of its components:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{mem}}}{\partial F} = \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{stretch}}}{\partial F} + \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{shear}}}{\partial F}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{stretch}}}{\partial F} &= 2F \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{I_5(\mathbf{e}_0)}} \right) \mu_{\text{sto}} \mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_0^T + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{I_5(\mathbf{e}_1)}} \right) \mu_{\text{st1}} \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^T \right), \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{shear}}}{\partial F} &= 2F \mu_{\text{sh}} (I_6 - \mathbf{e}_0^T \mathbf{e}_1) (\mathbf{e}_0 \mathbf{e}_1^T + \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_0^T). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

2.2 Bending Energy

Recall that we use the quadratic bending model from Bergou et al. [2006], where the energy density is defined as

$$\Psi_{\text{bend}} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\text{bd}} H^2, \quad (13)$$

where H denotes the mean curvature. The mean curvature can be computed using the induced Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on the surface:

$$H^2 = \langle \Delta \phi, \Delta \phi \rangle = \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X_2^2} \right), \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X_2^2} \right) \right\rangle. \quad (14)$$

To obtain the explicit formula for mean curvature, we first use parametric coordinates as intermediates and apply chain rule to compute the derivatives $\partial^2 \phi / \partial X_1^2$:

$$\phi_{11} = \phi_{uu} u_1^2 + \phi_{vv} v_1^2 + 2\phi_{uv} u_1 v_1 + \phi_u u_{11} + \phi_v v_{11}, \quad (15)$$

where subscripts are used to denote derivatives (e.g. $\phi_1 := \partial \phi / \partial X_1$, $\phi_{11} := \partial^2 \phi / \partial X_1^2$, similar for u and v). The highlighted first and second order derivatives are precomputed using Equation 3 and Equation 5. By symmetry we can similarly get ϕ_{22} , and then have

$$\Delta \phi = (u_1^2 + u_2^2) \phi_{uu} + (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \phi_{vv} + 2(u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2) \phi_{uv} + (u_{11} + u_{22}) \phi_u + (v_{11} + v_{22}) \phi_v \quad (16)$$

Denote the coefficients of $\Delta\phi$ before control point $C^{i,j}$ as c_{ij} , i.e. writing explicitly

$$c_{ij} = (u_1^2 + u_2^2) \frac{\partial^2 N_{i,j}}{\partial u^2} + (v_1^2 + v_2^2) \frac{\partial^2 N_{i,j}}{\partial v^2} + (u_{11} + u_{22}) \frac{\partial N_{i,j}}{\partial u} + (v_{11} + v_{22}) \frac{\partial N_{i,j}}{\partial v} + 2(u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2) \frac{\partial^2 N_{i,j}}{\partial u \partial v} \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{I} denotes the index set of all control points, and the basis functions $N_{i,j}$ are evaluated at the same position as ϕ . The bending energy can be expressed using the control points as

$$\Psi_{\text{bend}} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\text{bd}} \sum_{(i,j),(k,\ell) \in \mathcal{I}} c_{ij} c_{k\ell} \left(\sum_{w \in \{x,y,z\}} C_w^{i,j} C_w^{k,\ell} \right) \quad (18)$$

Here w traverses all coordinate components in \mathbb{R}^3 . The energy gradient and Hessian can then be computed as

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{bend}}}{\partial C_w^{i,j}} = \mu_{\text{bd}} \sum_{(k,\ell) \in \mathcal{I}} c_{ij} c_{k\ell} C_w^{(k,\ell)}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{\text{bend}}}{\partial C_w^{i,j} \partial C_w^{k,\ell}} = \mu_{\text{bd}} c_{ij} c_{k\ell} \delta_{ww'}, \quad (19)$$

where $w, w' \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are component indices. Here the Hessian of bending energy is independent of the control points, and therefore can be computed in advance.

3 Constructing Irregular B-Spline Surfaces

An important application of our framework is garment simulation, and we seek to fit our implementation into the production pipeline, requiring only geometry that typical garment simulators expect (linear triangle mesh of garment patches in rest configuration, and in initial 3D configuration). It is then essential to convert linear triangle meshes to B-spline surfaces that our framework can handle. In this section, we explain in detail our partially automated mesh conversion pipeline, which first extracts the boundary of the patches, and then generates control net of the entire patches with user-specifiable level of refinements. A final conforming process is added to prepare the constructed B-spline surfaces for simulation.

3.1 Extraction of Boundary Curves

We first determine the control points corresponding to the boundary of the target B-spline surface, by fitting the four B-spline curves to the boundary of the reference mesh. As the end points of each edge are specified by user, the task is reduced to fitting a B-spline curve to an open polyline, embedded in a 2-dimensional space (material space).

Denote the set of vertices of the polyline to be $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_m\}$, length of polyline segments $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{m-1}\}$, and the control points of the target B-spline curve $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$, where m is the number of vertices of the polyline, and $n (\geq 5)$ is the number of control points of the B-spline curve specified by user. Opposite edges are required to have the same number of control points. For each vertex \mathbf{p}_α , the parametric coordinate of its closest point on the B-spline curve u_α can be approximated by its coordinate in chord-length parametrization of the polyline

$$u_\alpha = (n-2) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \ell_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \ell_i}. \quad (20)$$

Fitting the control points C_i of the B-spline curve can then be formulated as a regularized least-square problem:

$$\arg \min_{C_\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n N_j(u_i) C_j - \mathbf{p}_i \right\|^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \|C_{i-1} + C_{i+1} - 2C_i\|^2. \quad (21)$$

The first term penalizes deviation of curve from the polyline vertices, and the second regularization term penalizes deviation of curve from straight line, with weight λ configurable by user. The regularization here is important for

stability when fitting curvy boundaries, as penalizing only deviation from curve can lead to overfitting zigzags of the polyline, resulting in self-intersecting B-spline curves which are improper for surface boundary.

3.2 Control Net Generation and Refinements

Suppose that the two pairs of B-spline curves fitted in the previous step contain n_1 and n_2 control points, respectively. To evaluate the position of any point on the B-spline surface \mathcal{S} using the parametric coordinates, we need to know all $C_{i,j}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n_1\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n_2\}$:

$$\mathcal{S}(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} N_i(u) N_j(v) C_{i,j}. \quad (22)$$

Previous step of curve fitting has already provided the boundary control points $\{C_{i,j} \mid i \in \{1, n_1\} \text{ or } j \in \{1, n_2\}\}$. We construct the remaining interior control points of the control net so that the B-spline surface over each knot span is approximately rectilinear. This is required because Gaussian quadrature is defined only on rectangular knot spans, and a nearly isometric mapping from the parametric space to the surface \mathcal{S} helps prevent the accumulation of quadrature error. Similar to the construction of boundary curves, we first make an initial guess on the position of interior control points, and then perform an optimization penalizing deviation from rectilinear shapes.

Given either pair of opposite boundary edges, a straightforward idea to compute the position of an interior point is via linear interpolation. For an interior control point with index (i, j) where $i \notin \{1, n_1\}$ and $j \notin \{1, n_2\}$, the two candidate positions from interpolating from each pair of edges are

$$C_{i,j}^{(1)} = \frac{n_2 - j}{n_2 - 1} C_{i,1} + \frac{j - 1}{n_2 - 1} C_{i,n_2}, \quad C_{i,j}^{(2)} = \frac{n_1 - i}{n_1 - 1} C_{1,j} + \frac{i - 1}{n_1 - 1} C_{n_1,j}. \quad (23)$$

Simply averaging will result in near-degenerated or inverted knot spans. Instead, we determine the interior control point by minimizing the sum of squared tangential components of the deviation from the guide directions defined by the two interpolations. Mathematically, this can be formulated as an optimization problem:

$$\arg \min_{C_{i,j}} \left\| (C_{i,j} - C_{i,j}^{(1)}) \cdot \mathbf{t}_1 \right\|^2 + \left\| (C_{i,j} - C_{i,j}^{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{t}_2 \right\|^2, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}_1 = \frac{C_{i,1} - C_{i,n_2}}{\|C_{i,1} - C_{i,n_2}\|}, \quad \mathbf{t}_2 = \frac{C_{1,j} - C_{n_1,j}}{\|C_{1,j} - C_{n_1,j}\|} \quad (25)$$

are the tangential direction of line segments $C_{i,1}C_{i,n_2}$ and $C_{1,j}C_{n_1,j}$ respectively. This quadratic optimization problem has a closed-form solution from the following 2-by-2 symmetric positive definite system:

$$(t_1 t_1^\top + t_2 t_2^\top) C_{i,j} = t_1 t_1^\top C_{i,j}^{(1)} + t_2 t_2^\top C_{i,j}^{(2)}. \quad (26)$$

As \mathcal{S} deviates from being isometric, $|t_1 \cdot t_2|$ increases, leading to larger approximation errors, which untangles approximation error from absolute distance between the candidate positions from interpolation. In practice, the resulting improved initial guess effectively avoids the generation of inverted knot spans.

We then fine tune the position of interior control points so that \mathcal{S} is as isometric as possible, by adopting the Most Isometric Parameterizations (MIPS) energy [Hormann and Greiner 2000]. At any point (u, v) in the parametric space, the energy is defined by the Jacobian $J|_{(u,v)}$ of \mathcal{S} :

$$\varphi(J|_{(u,v)}) = \|J|_{(u,v)}\|^2 \|J|_{(u,v)}^{-1}\|^2, \quad \text{where } J|_{(u,v)} = [\partial_u \mathcal{S}|_{(u,v)} \quad \partial_v \mathcal{S}|_{(u,v)}] \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}. \quad (27)$$

In general the first guess of interior control points does not produce degenerated shapes, and therefore inverting J is fine.

The total MIPS energy of the surface is computed by integrating the MIPS energy density over the *parametric space* of the surface. Since the parametric space is always rectangular, we can apply Gaussian quadrature here:

$$\Psi_{\text{MIPS}} = \int_0^{n_1-2} \int_0^{n_2-2} \varphi(J|_{(u,v)}) dudv \approx \sum_{(w_g, (u_g, v_g)) \in \mathcal{G}} w_g \varphi(J|_{(u_g, v_g)}) \quad (28)$$

where \mathcal{G} is the set of Gaussian quadrature points from applying a per-knot-span 2×2 quadrature rule. We would also like to constrain the optimization process so that the boundaries are mostly preserved. This is done by adding a penalty term Ψ_{boundary} with the same definition as the first term in Equation 21 for each boundary curve. The whole optimization objective is then

$$\Psi = \omega_b \Psi_{\text{boundary}} + \Psi_{\text{MIPS}}. \quad (29)$$

ω_b is a user-specifiable coefficient, controlling how much adjustments are allowed on the boundary to reduce the MIPS energy. The optimization is solved using Newton's method with backtracking line search.

3.3 Lifting to 3D Initial Configuration

After converting all garment patches in the flat configuration, we initialize the B-spline surfaces in world space for static simulation and subsequent animation. Given the control points of the B-spline surface in the material space $C_{i,j}$, the vertices of linear triangle mesh in the material space \mathbf{p}_i and their corresponding positions in the world space $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i$, we compute the position of control points in the world space $\tilde{C}_{i,j}$. Depending on whether the control point lies inside the linear triangle mesh, we need to interpolate or extrapolate.

Inside the triangle mesh. For any control points $C_{i,j}$ inside the triangle mesh in the material space, it must be contained inside some triangle. Denote the vertices of the triangle by $\mathbf{p}_1^{(i,j)}$, $\mathbf{p}_2^{(i,j)}$ and $\mathbf{p}_3^{(i,j)}$. The interpolation can then be computed by applying the barycentric coordinates:

$$C_{i,j} = \alpha \mathbf{p}_1^{(i,j)} + \beta \mathbf{p}_2^{(i,j)} + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \mathbf{p}_3^{(i,j)} \quad \implies \quad \tilde{C}_{i,j} = \alpha \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_1^{(i,j)} + \beta \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_2^{(i,j)} + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_3^{(i,j)}. \quad (30)$$

Outside the triangle mesh. In this case, we need to determine the nearest triangle and extrapolate. As interpolation is done using barycentric coordinates, the triangle whose center (i.e. with barycentric coordinate $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$) is closest to the control point in the material space is selected, and the world space position is computed similarly using Equation 30. To ensure that extrapolation will not induce too much error, the control point will be projected to the nearest edge if any component of its barycentric coordinates is smaller than $-\tau$ or greater than $1 + \tau$. In practice setting $\tau = 0.5$ often gives good results.

References

- Miklos Bergou, Max Wardetzky, David Harmon, Denis Zorin, and Eitan Grinspun. 2006. A quadratic bending model for inextensible surfaces. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Eurographics Symposium on Geometry Processing (Cagliari, Sardinia, Italy) (SGP '06)*. Eurographics Association, Goslar, DEU, 227–230.
- Kai Hormann and Guenther Greiner. 2000. MIPS: An Efficient Global Parametrization Method. *Curve and Surface Design: Saint-Malo* (11 2000), 10.
- Theodore Kim. 2020. A Finite Element Formulation of Baraff-Witkin Cloth. *Computer Graphics Forum* 39, 8 (Nov. 2020), 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14111>